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Introduction: The Synoptic Gospels and a Hypothetical Grundschrift

Several decades ago, a collaborative group of Jewish and Christian scholars based in Israel advanced what was then, and still is, considered a radical theory regarding the birth, growth, and development of the Synoptic Gospels. What began as a joint effort between two Jerusalem-based scholars, American Robert L. Lindsey (a Southern Baptist minister) and Professor David Flusser (director of the Department of Comparative Religion at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem), blossomed into a fledgling “movement” and spawned what came to be known as the Jerusalem School of Synoptic Research.

Lindsey had originally noticed that the Gospel of Luke, though said to lack Aramaisms, can be translated into a Hebrew text much more easily than Mark, which is almost universally assumed to have been the first of the four Gospels. For example, Mark frequently interjects the Greek expression καὶ εὐθὺς (“and immediately”). He does this a total of forty-one times; yet, the phrase has no Hebrew equivalent. Luke, by contrast, employs this expression only once, and not in a story unit shared with Mark. Lindsey’s question was that if Luke were copying Mark, who supposedly wrote first, why did he not reproduce Mark’s wording: καὶ εὐθὺς? He concluded that Luke did not copy Mark’s text because he did not see it. Matthew, in a number of instances, reproduces καὶ εὐθὺς, but only in passages which parallel Mark. A

---

1 See Robert Lindsey, Jesus Rabbi and Lord (Oak Creek, WI: Cornerstone, 1990), 20.
Moreover, Lindsey discovered that in places where Matthew most closely follows Mark, his text does not translate well into Hebrew. However, when Matthew follows Luke as opposed to Mark, it is relatively easy to render a Hebrew version of the narrative. Lindsey was forced to conclude that Luke indeed wrote first. When he met David Flusser, who had independently become convinced of the strong editorial redaction in Mark’s Gospel, a healthy working relationship began.

They suggested that beneath the Greek texts of Matthew, Mark, and Luke lay a long-vanished Semitic, indeed a Hebrew subtext, or “undertext” (grundschrift), the haunting tones of which may be imperfectly glimpsed through the occasionally awkward syntax of the traditional Gospel narratives. As a literary product the Gospels betray their origins, not as a collection of oral compositions, slapped together by Greek-speaking redactors decades after the life and death of their principal subject, but as a multi-layered translation project, dissecting, rearranging, reassembling, and parroting what theoretically began as a single Hebraic document—a hypothetical life of Jesus of Nazareth.2

The evidence for such an Ur-text is hiding in plain sight, in the multiple Hebraisms (Greek words and phrases which almost certainly represent translations of Hebrew idioms) scattered across the Synoptic narratives. Syntactical evidence in the form of sentence structure that appears to mimic biblical Hebrew is equally abundant. Indeed, the Greek of the Synoptic Gospels is said to resemble what might be called “translation Greek,” similar to the ancient Greek rendering of the Hebrew Scriptures, known as the Septuagint. Language of composition being the fundamental element of any literary work, the implications of this avant-garde theory are astounding. They suggest that the Christian Gospels are inherently the product of “homegrown” Jewish thought during the

---

days of the Second Temple. Moreover, they present us with the serious prospect that the language in which the words of the historical Jesus were recorded, and by extension the “mother tongue” in which he taught, was not, as long supposed, Aramaic, but Hebrew. Hardly a dead or dormant language, this was the Hebrew of the Dead Sea Scrolls, and subsequently the Mishnah. In a real sense, the Jesus of history becomes Jesus the Jewish Sage.

Some have argued that Aramaic source material of some kind lay behind the Synoptic Gospels, while others have argued just as convincingly that the Aramaic theory is without merit. The case presented here is that looking to proposed Aramaic sources behind the Synoptics is, in fact, seriously flawed. There are certainly several Aramaisms peppering the Gospel narratives, including ταλιθά, κοῦµ ("talita cumi," Mark 5:41), ἐφφαθά ("ephphata," Mark 7:34), ῥαββονί ("rabboni," Mark 10:51) and most notably Ἄλῳ Ἄλοι, λιµᾶ σαβαχθανί; ("Eloí, Eloí, lima savachthani?" Mark 15:34). It is significant, however, that most Hebrew literature from the Second Temple and Tannaitic periods, including the Mishnah, the Dead Sea Scrolls, and the Bar Kokhba letters, also contain Aramaisms that had filtered into common use. It is equally notable that multiple Hebrew words are also represented in the Greek Gospels. These include:

λίβανον (לְבוֹנָה, “frankincense,” Matt 2:11),
Ῥακά (Ῥ Ρέκ, “empty,” Matt 5:22),
ζιζάνια (ζόνινα, “tares,” Matt 13:25),
Ῥάββί (ῥ Ῥάββ, “Rabbi,” Matt 23:7–8),
kοµινον (κοµµίνον, “cummin,” Matt 23:23),
Βοανεργές (Βοανεργές, Mark 3:17),
kορβᾶν (κορβᾶν, “sacrifice,” Mark 7:11),
μύρου (µυροῦ, “myrrh,” Luke 7:37),
βάτους (βατοῦς, “bath” as a measure, Luke 16:6),
kόρους (κόρους, “kor” as a measure, (Luke 16:7),
In the early twentieth century, the renowned Jewish scholar, M. H. Segal wrote:

…what was the language of ordinary life of educated native Jews in Jerusalem and Judea from 40 B.C. to 150 A.D.? The evidence presented by Mishnaic Hebrew and its literature leaves no doubt that that language was Mishnaic Hebrew. Of course those educated Judeans also understood Aramaic, and used it even in writing, but only occasionally…

As recently observed by Michael Wise in his study of language patterns in Roman Judea, “Most scholars today would agree that Judeans in the first century C.E. and the first third of the second century were a trilingual society, using Hebrew, Aramaic, and Greek.” He also notes that prior to the discoveries of the manuscripts of the Judean Desert (between 1945 and 1965) it was commonly assumed that the dominant language for daily life in Judea was Aramaic. However, “It was the discoveries associated with the later period—the contracts and documents of the Bar Kokhba period—that provided the more direct argument for Hebrew as a vernacular; and it was the letters that advance this view.”

In the final analysis, those who insist that the Greek of the Synoptics does not rely on Hebrew source material of any kind are

---

6 Ibid., 12.
forced to conclude that in the many instances in which the Greek seems to betray a Hebraic flavor (“and it came to pass” being an example) the writers were deliberately attempting to mimic biblical Hebrew (something not done with such regularity in John’s Gospel or other Greek compositions of the New Testament). The other logical option is they were, in fact, relying on authentically Hebraic source texts, subsequently translated to Greek. Indeed, those who cannot hear the rich resonance of Hebrew coursing through the printed words of the Greek text are arguably not sufficiently trained in Hebrew to appreciate the phenomenon.

The burden of this research is to “read through” the Greek of the Synoptic Gospels to the vestigial remnants of this underlying Hebraic source. It is a monumental undertaking of scholarly sleuthing, which seeks to reconstruct this most elusive of all Ur-texts, vaguely akin to a Dead Sea Scroll, some thirty to thirty-five Hebrew chapters in length and likely reduced to writing within just a few years of the events it describes. According to the Jerusalem School, this text, which was subsequently lost, was translated to Greek, for consumption in the Hellenistic communities to the west, where the apostle Paul was busily casting his own theological net over this uniquely Jewish messianic sect. For the sake of scholarship, it may be referred to as a “Proto-Narrative” (PN). The obvious question is how this single *grundschrift* became three separate Synoptic accounts.

On a literary level, it is a Darwinian endeavor, positing The Origin of Gospel Species. It amounts to what might be called “evolutionary textology.” The operating theory advanced by the Jerusalem School is that PN was taken in hand by a Greek-speaking redactor and cleverly subdivided into three separate topical sections. It likely consisted of a narrative of the life of Jesus (including the multiple miracle stories linked to him, his death and resurrection), a “sayings” section (recording the words and teachings/ipsissima verba of Jesus), and a compilation of Jesus’ parables. It may be referred to as the “Anthological Text” (ANT), approximately fifty Greek chapters in length. It is the “sayings”
section of the ANT that presents an intriguing alternative to what is normally supposed to be an entirely separate collection of the words of Jesus, referred to as the “Q” source.

To be sure, the application of Occam’s razor is most helpful in deciphering this textual puzzle: “Entities [in this case texts] should not be multiplied without necessity.” Nonetheless, any understanding of the “Synoptic problem” requires at least some such multiplication. It is theorized that at some point the Anthological Text (ANT) was taken in hand by another redactor, who, according to the theory of the Jerusalem School, attempted to reconstruct a fresh narrative of Jesus according to the supposed original order, weaving together stories, parables, and sayings as they might have originally appeared. The result was a hypothetical “Short Gospel” or “Reconstructed Text” (RCT, approximately eighteen chapters in length), by its description less developed and more concise than its predecessor. It might well be titled “Condensed Gospel,” which, along with PN, became the source material for the three Synoptics to follow. In essence, it is a radical variation of the “two source” hypothesis, and it does much to reconcile many of the conundrums involved in Synoptic research.7

It is at this point that the Jerusalem School offers the sweeping suggestion that Luke was composed first, relying on both the ANT and the RCT. The fatal flaw in the conventional reasoning, it is argued, is that the length of a book as a whole is the most compelling evidence for its primacy. Following a curiously Darwinian logic, it is assumed that all texts evolve from primitive to complex states and that the “evolutionary development” of the Synoptic Gospels must therefore have begun with Mark. It is a serious mistake, however, to consider overall length as the “holy grail” of textual primacy. It is much more appropriate to consider the inner workings of the texts, their “genetic code,” as it were. On that level, the conventional wisdom is upended, as we lay specific

---

passages side by side to observe over and over again that Mark appears to be expanding on Luke as if to explain to a Greek-speaking audience what Lucan idioms actually signify.

A case in point, among myriad examples, is when Jesus is reported by Luke (18:29–30) as saying:

There is no one who has left house, or parents, or brothers, or wife, or children, for the sake of the kingdom of God, who shall not receive manifold more in this present time, and in the world to come eternal life.

Mark (10:29–30) appears to explain what Luke means by the word “house” (in Hebrew בֵית). His account reads:

There is no one who has left house, or brothers, or sisters, or father, or mother, or wife, or children, or lands, for my sake, and the Gospel’s, but he shall receive a hundredfold now in this time, houses, and brothers, and sisters, and mothers, and children, and land, with persecutions; and in the world to come eternal life.

The word “parents” in Luke has been elaborated in Mark as “father or mother.” Mark also adds “sisters” and “lands,” as well as a reference to the “Gospel” and the words “with persecutions.” The promise of future land is inconsistent with the Jesus of Luke, who is not in the habit of promising physical rewards for dedication to his movement. Indeed, while Mark on the whole is considerably shorter than Luke, the Markan redactor is in the habit of supplementing the Lucan account on a verse-by-verse basis. As another example, the pericope regarding the healing of a demon-possessed boy contains 124 words as recorded in Luke (9:37–43) and 270 words in Mark (9:14–29).

To employ Hebraic terminology, Mark might well be called a kind of “targumist,” who went well beyond the task of translating one language to another, clarifying the presumed intent of idioms,
phrases, and sundry expressions, as conveyed from text to text. A separate case, involving a theological interpretation, is the statement in the mouth of the Roman centurion at the crucifixion of Jesus. Luke records him as saying, “Surely, this was a righteous man.” Mark, however, has him say, “Surely, this was the son of God.” It is much easier to recognize Luke as reproducing an earlier and more “authentic” text, which was later embellished by Mark so as to extol Jesus to a level of near divinity. It was later writers who had every reason to elevate Jesus, in line with an evolving theology. Mark, it is argued, relied on the ANT and Luke, but did not know the RCT directly. Instead, he essentially “lifted” the RCT out of Luke, adapting it as he saw fit.

Finally, we have Matthew’s Gospel, which also relies on two sources: the ANT and Mark, without knowing Luke. Matthew ordered his story units (pericopes) after Mark while taking other material (such as minor corrections of Mark’s wording) from the ANT. For the discriminating mind, Matthew presents a whole gamut of challenges in its own right. It is in Matthew’s narrative that we find, at the trial of Jesus before Pilate, a boisterous Jewish mob demanding his crucifixion with the libelous chant, “His blood be upon us and on our children.” It is a charge absent in Luke and Mark. Given the use of such language across the centuries for the accusation that the Jewish people are history’s quintessential “Christ killers,” it is by no means difficult to understand why Matthew, and by extension all the Gospels, would be viewed by Jews as beyond the pale of serious consideration, even on a purely literary level. However, if we can wrestle with Matthew as part of the larger Synoptic Problem, we might catch a glimpse of a tertiary writer, theorized to have been part of an Aramaic-speaking, Judeo-Christian group, with an exclusivist mindset that conceived of itself as the “new Israel,” the “true Israel.” Such a mentality would go a long way toward explaining the writer’s occasionally vicious rhetoric directed against what became traditional Judaism.

On a textual level, though Matthew did not know Luke, the common source material is responsible for many passages and
pericopes common to both (the so-called “double tradition”), with minor variations. In other instances, the same or similar pericope is repeated across all three Synoptics: the “triple tradition.” It is precisely in these cases where Matthew appears conflicted between Mark and the ANT. Often he seems to “pick up” Mark’s elaborations to suit his own exegetical and theological purposes. Robert Lindsey, in seeking a new approach to the Synoptic Problem, examined a phenomenon he called the “Marcan cross-factor.” He noted that in the triple tradition Matthew and Luke evince high agreement in pericope order and low verbal agreement in the narrative. In the double tradition, however, Matthew and Luke evince low agreement in pericope order and high agreement in verbal identity. This is quite consistent with the theory of Lucan priority.

Another contention of Lindsey and the Jerusalem School is that Jesus may well have spent a good deal of his time (“ministry”) to the south of his native Galilee, in Judea. Certain aspects of his teaching seem particularly suited to an environment that included Jerusalem and points south, rather than the Galilean environment with which he is almost exclusively associated, save for the last week of his life. It is clear from the Gospels themselves that Jesus’ family was wealthy enough to afford trips to Jerusalem, as recorded in the narratives surrounding his childhood. Later we are told (Luke 5:17) that he encountered “Pharisees and teachers of the Law … who had come out of every town of Galilee, and Judea, and Jerusalem.” It should hardly be surprising if Jesus had in fact returned to Judea regularly during his adult life, and it would indeed be unusual if he had not ventured into this region to his immediate south.

An important value of this admittedly avant-garde approach to the Synoptic problem is that it mitigates what often appears as a troublesome, anti-Jewish tone in many New Testament passages, even as it forces us to consider, not Mark, but Luke as the earliest Synoptic record. The potential of such an understanding is of huge consequence in the realm of interfaith relations. For Christians,
there is considerable value in reading through the Greek Gospels to uncover, at least potentially, the *ipsissima verba* of Jesus himself, unadorned by layers of subsequent theological overlay. For Jews the prospect of vivisecting the Gospels to encounter, not the progenitor of another faith, but an ancient Israelite sage, perhaps an ancient Hasid, or perhaps harboring serious sympathies for the Zealot freedom fighters of his day, opens a door to appreciating the great Nazarene in a manner not thought possible since the inception of Christianity, nearly two millennia ago. It is in that spirit and with that aspiration that the following annotated version of the Synoptic Gospels is presented. It is sincerely hoped that it will add to the discussion of the Jewish Jesus, in all his color and first-century flavor.

**A Note to the Reader**

This volume is designed for those who are familiar to some extent with New Testament scholarship. However, it “bridges the gap” between material considered “accessible” and high scholarship. A knowledge of Hebrew and Greek is certainly helpful, though not required, as important terms and quotations appear in English as well as in the original languages.

One important textual note is that Lindsey himself wrote relatively little in his lifetime, and perhaps his most important work, *Jesus, Rabbi and Lord*, was written not in an academic style, but in his role as a Baptist minister. Nevertheless, its contents represent the sum of his decades long partnership with David Flusser. Many of his insights, virtually unattested in New Testament scholarship, deserve serious scholarly treatment and consideration. His suggested pericope order carries profound implications for synoptic research, and the text presented here represents a serious attempt to re-order the complete Gospel of Luke in accordance with Lindsey and Flusser’s proposed schema, albeit purely hypothetical.
Introduction

It is not the purpose of this modest volume to make a conclusive or exhaustive argument for Lucan priority. I have therefore made only a brief summary of the evidence for the theory while pointing to others who have defended it in greater detail. The Jerusalem School of Synoptic Research, along with its publications and related website (jerusalemperspective.com/696/) continue to advance important scholarly arguments with respect to the primacy of Luke. The Jerusalem School is currently compiling an exhaustive online resource, attempting to reconstruct this Proto-Narrative, admittedly from an evangelical Christian perspective. As of this writing, it remains only partially complete. Rather than restating these arguments or belaboring their fine points, the value of the current work is to get a glimpse of what the Gospel may have resembled, hypothetically, if the primacy of Luke were accepted. It may provide a sense of what the narrative of the life of Jesus may have resembled in its earliest compilation. The work presented here is intended to provide a much-needed overview, from a non-sectarian vantage point, of the entirety of this research. As with any academic debate, the important thing is not to arrive at conclusive proof, which every serious scholar knows is unattainable, but to glean insights that greatly enhance the understanding of all.

Moreover, whatever the validity of the theory, the abundant parallels to the Lucan narrative in multiple ancient sources, from the Dead Sea Scrolls to rabbinic literature (cited in the original Hebrew and/or Aramaic wherever possible), should serve as an important reference for those seeking a better understanding of the Jewish Jesus movement and its earliest historical milieu, prior to the imposition of multiple layers of subsequent religious and theological dogma. In the final analysis, the approach presented here is by no means the “last word” on contemporary Jesus research, but admittedly a work in progress, as enlightened scholarship grapples with the Sage of Galilee. It is therefore with a considerable dose of humility that Hebraic Luke is set forth as follows. Let the learning begin…
Introduction to Luke

The author of Luke begins by acknowledging that other accounts of the life of Jesus have preceded him. The operating theory espoused here is that two of the prior sources available to him were: a hypothetical “Anthological Text” (ANT) and a “Reconstructed Text” (RCT), which we may also refer to as a “Short Gospel.” Following the introductory narratives of the first two chapters, it is argued that Chapter 3 through the middle of Chapter 9, as well as Chapters 19 to 24, are taken from RCT. The Reconstructed Text is known only to Luke. (Purple-colored font is used to highlight RCT.) The Anthological Text (ANT) is reproduced by Luke from the middle of Chapter 9 through Chapter 18. One textual conundrum potentially resolved by this theory is the fact that many of the Lucan sayings of Jesus are repeated later in the Gospel, as “doublets.” The “two-source hypothesis” presented here explains this phenomenon. Additionally, the theory that Jesus embarked on a Judean sojourn is emphasized. The relevant passages appear in a separate section. Other passages have been rearranged from their order in the traditional Lucan text. This corresponds with the theorized notion that in the earliest “Proto-Narrative,” a typical Gospel story unit (pericope) would have been built of an incident and a teaching, followed by two parables. Consequently, the flow of original chapters and verses is often interrupted, and explanatory notes have been placed in the text to indicate the transposition of certain sections. The text has therefore been rearranged according to hypothetical “Acts” and “Scenes.” These include:
1. Luke’s “Prelude”
2. The Baptist, the Genealogy, the Temptation and Galilee
3. The Judean Sojourn
4. The Return to Galilee
5. Up to Jerusalem
6. Temple to Tomb
7. Post-Resurrection

Some of the sayings and teachings of Jesus are also theorized to belong to a final, “post-resurrection” section of the Luke-Acts, originally appearing after Luke 24:50 or Acts 1:3. Such sayings have been transposed to the end. Occasional imports from the Gospels of Matthew and John are in red font. It should be noted that the first two chapters of Luke (excluding Luke’s dedication to Theophilus in the first four verses) also betray a Hebraic syntax, likely stemming from an earlier Hebrew “nativity” source.

It is worth noting that beginning with the first two chapters of Luke, there has been much source-critical discussion of “aberrations” in the Greek. M. Wilcox noted the influence of “Aramaic and/or Hebrew traditional material” on New Testament Greek. He argued that there is something more going on than mere imitation of the Greek of the Septuagint. It is arguably the case, however, that a Hebrew Vorlage explains such aberrations better than a supposed Aramaic source or sources.\(^1\)

In any case, such an unknown Hebrew source is distinct from either the ANT or the RCT. This section is color-coded in brown. Throughout the text, the words and phrases in bold font are notable for their Hebraic significance, and the extensive footnotes at the bottom of each page explain their meaning and importance.

---

A brief outline of Luke’s sources is as follows:

**Chapters 1–3:** Unknown “Nativity” source
**Chapters 3–9:** RCT (Reconstructed Text)—known only to Luke
**Chapters 9–18:** ANT (Anthological Text)
**Chapters 19–24:** RCT (Reconstructed Text)—known only to Luke

*A note on the text: I have chosen the King James version of the Gospels since it slavishly preserves, often in an awkward fashion, much of the original syntax of the Greek, which itself awkwardly renders a hypothetical Hebrew Ur-text. I have, however, made extensive edits to the KJV, consulting the original Greek text, in order to conform it to modern idiomatic English.*
Luke’s Prelude

Beginning with the story of the birth of John the Baptist, we find a thoroughly Hebraic cadence, with the word “and” being employed multiple times to preserve the flow of the narrative. This mirrors the “vav consecutive” verb form, which, while stylistically common in Hebrew, sounds monotonously repetitive when reproduced in Greek (and English translation).¹

While it has been pointed out that there is in general a lack of Aramaisms in Luke, the case can be made (notwithstanding a cadre of scholarly detractors) that there are abundant Hebraisms.² Reading “beneath” the Greek, we may imagine a Hebrew subtext, in which the word “and” is a perfectly common literary device, the “vav consecutive.” Other Hebraisms begin to appear, such as the statement, “And it came to pass.” While the first two chapters of Luke (seen by some as second century additions) are not theorized to derive from either RCT or ANT, the author/redactor likely relied on Hebraic source material of some kind. Luke’s account of the virgin birth is often considered part of a hypothetical oral tradition, known as “L,” but it can easily be argued that the Greek of these passages suggests a written Hebrew grundschrift. Such an argument is considered avant-garde in the context of the majority of contemporary scholarship, but it is entirely reasonable, given the universal recognition of the text’s Semitic characteristics and overall “flair.”

Dedication to Theophilus

Writing to Theophilus (“friend of God”), likely a non-Jew, Luke’s author/redactor declares his objective as setting forth a narrative “in order.” This is consistent with the “two-source hypothesis.” Although the consensus of critical scholarship places the Gospel’s composition later in the first century, it is theorized that Luke’s first recension may date to as early as 58–60 CE.³

Luke 1:1 Inasmuch as many have attempted to set forth in order a narrative⁴ of the things that have been accomplished among us, 2 Even as they delivered them to us, who from the beginning were eyewitnesses, and servants of the word; 3 It seemed good to me also, having been acquainted with all things from the very first, to write to you in order, most excellent Theophilus, 4 so that you might know the certainty of the things in which you have been instructed.

Scene 1:
John the Baptist’s Birth Announced

The focus of Chapter 1 is on the priestly service in the temple. The narrative betrays Hebraic syntactical features, with abundant references to the Hebrew Scriptures, rabbinic literature, and the Dead Sea Scrolls. As in the Hebrew Bible, there is an emphasis on the motif of a child born to a barren woman. Interestingly, the term “Holy Spirit,” long considered uniquely Christian in usage, also finds a parallel in the Dead Sea Scrolls, indicating its adoption at

⁴ The “many” who have attempted to produce an orderly narrative might have included the editors/redactors of both ANT (the hypothetical “anthological text”) and RCT (the “short Gospel,”) representing an attempt to restore the Greek text to its proper chronological sequence.
least by sectarian movements of the Second Jewish Commonwealth.

Luke 1:5 There was in the days of Herod, the king of Judea, a certain priest named Zechariah, of the order of Abijah: and his wife was among the daughters of Aaron, and her name was Elizabeth. 6 And they were both righteous before God, walking in all the commandments and ordinances of the Lord blameless. 7 And they had no child, because Elizabeth was barren, and they both were now well advanced in years. 8 And it came to pass, that while he executed the priest’s office before God in the order of his division, according to the custom of the priest’s office, the lot fell to him to burn incense when he went into the temple of the Lord. 9 And the whole multitude of the people were praying outside at the time of incense. 10 And there appeared to him an angel of the Lord standing on the right side of the altar of

---

5 See 1 Chr 24:1. The list of priestly divisions is dated to the fifth century, BCE, but no mention is made of the fixed order of service. This is a post-Biblical tradition. Priests lived in other settlements, aside from Jerusalem (m. Ta’an. 4:2), leaving their homes and traveling to Jerusalem for a week at a time: “When the time arrived [for the members of a certain] priestly watch to ascend, the priests and Levites of that watch would ascend to Jerusalem” (לִירוּשָׁלַיִם עוֹלִים וּלְוִיִּם כֹּהֲנִים, לַעֲלוֹת הַמִּשְׁמָר זְמַן הִגִּיעַ). Abijah was the eighth division, serving in Iyar (Apr.–May) and again in Marcheshvan (Oct.–Nov.). The Abijah division was named after one of the priests returning with Zerubbabel (Neh 12:4). The phrase “among the daughters of Aaron” was an idiom of the period, meaning that she was a daughter of a priest.

6 “Righteous” suggests that their childlessness was not the result of wickedness or unworthiness. “Before God” (ἐναντίον τοῦ Θεοῦ) translates the Hebrew אֶלֶה לָפֵא בִּפְנֵי, meaning “in the opinion of God.”

7 As in Gen 16:1, which relates that Sarah was barren. Note: Pesiq. Rab. 32: “R’ Levi said anywhere that it says she does not have [a child], she has” (רבי לֶבַי מָשָׁה, שֶׁאֵין נִנְבָּא לַאֵל הָיָה).

8 Sixty years was considered the beginning of agedness; note m. Avot 5:21: “At sixty old age” (רֶכֶל עַשֶּׁה דָּוָא). See Luke 18:16.

9 The Greek ἐγένετο δὲ translates the Hebrew וַיְהִי, which is used idiomatically throughout the Hebrew Bible, beginning with Gen 1:5: “And there was evening and there was morning, one day” (וַיְהִי עֶרֶב-בֹּקֶר אֶחָד יוֹם).

10 It is charged that people did not pray at the time of sacrifice or incense, and that Luke (the L source) is unfamiliar with the temple practices.
incense.  
12 And when Zechariah saw him, he was troubled, and fear fell upon him.  
13 But the angel said to him: Do not fear, Zechariah; for your prayer has been heard; and your wife Elizabeth will bear you a son, and you will call his name John.  
14 And you will have joy and gladness; and many will rejoice at his birth.  
15 For he will be great in the sight of the Lord, and will drink neither wine nor strong drink; and he will be filled with the Holy Spirit, even from his mother’s womb.  
16 And he will turn many of the children of Israel to the Lord their God.  
17 And he will go before him in the spirit and power of Elijah, to turn the hearts of the fathers to the children, and the disobedient to the
wisdom of the righteous; to make ready a people prepared\textsuperscript{16} for the Lord. 18 And Zechariah said to the angel: How will I know this? For I am an old man, and my wife is well advanced in years. 19 And the angel answering said to him: I am Gabriel, who stands in the presence of God; and am sent to speak to you, and to show you these glad tidings.\textsuperscript{17} 20 And, behold, you will become mute, and unable to speak, until the day that these things take place, because you did not believe my words, which will be fulfilled in their season. 21 And the people waited for Zechariah, and marveled that he remained so long in the temple.\textsuperscript{19} 22 And when he came out, he could not speak to them;\textsuperscript{20} and they perceived that he had seen a vision in the temple: for he gestured to them, and remained speechless. 23 And it came to pass,\textsuperscript{21} that, as soon as the days of his service were accomplished, he departed to his own house. 24 And after those days his wife Elizabeth conceived, and secluded herself for five months, saying: 25 Thus has the Lord dealt with me in the days in which he looked on me, to take away my reproach among men.

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{16} Note Ben Sira 48:10: “… you who are ready at the appointed time.”
\item \textsuperscript{17} The Greek εὐαγγελίσασθαι translates the Hebrew רְשֵׁהָ. Note Isa 61:1: “The spirit of the Lord GOD is upon me; because the LORD has anointed me to bring good tidings to the humble …” (רוּחַ אדֹנָי הָיָהַ עלִּי יְהוָה אֲדֹנָי רְשֵׁהָ יָעַן עֲנָוִים). The Greek καὶ ἰδοῦ translates the Hebrew והִנֵּה. In the Septuagint ἰδοῦ is the most common translation of the Hebrew demonstrative particle יה. Either the Greek is deliberately mimicking the LXX or there is an underlying Hebraic source on which the Gospel writer is relying. M. Wilcox (“Semitisms in the New Testament”) argued that such features are not simply the imitation of Septuagint Greek.
\item People were always concerned when a priest entered the temple, lest something go amiss. Note \textit{m. Yom.} 5:1 (regarding the high priest on the Day of Atonement): “And he would not extend his prayer there so as not to alarm the Jewish people [who would otherwise conclude that something happened and that he died in the Holy of Holies]” (וְלֹא יִשְׂרָאֵל אֶת לְהַבְעִית שֶׁלֹּא בִּתְפִלָּתוֹ מַאֲרִיךְ הָיָה וְלֹא). It is charged, however, that several priests regularly entered the temple to offer incense, and that Luke is unfamiliar with these practices.
\item He was expected to offer the priestly benediction (Num 6:24–26): “The Lord bless you and keep you …” (וְיִשְׁמְרֶ יְהוָה יְבָרֶכְךָ). The Greek καὶ ἐγένετο translates the Hebrew והִי. Note the repetition throughout the narrative of the word “and” (καὶ), preserved in the King James version, and consistent with the concept of an underlying Hebrew text, where “and” (ו) is a common literary feature.
\end{itemize}
Scene 2:  
Jesus’ Birth Announced

The announcement of Jesus’ birth is profoundly Hebraic in style and flavor. It bears syntactical features (including poetic parallelism and the equivalent of the “vav consecutive”) that are rooted in the Hebrew language.

Luke 1:26 And in the sixth month the angel Gabriel was sent from God to a city of Galilee, called Nazareth, 27 To a virgin espoused to a man whose name was Joseph, of the house of David; and the virgin’s name was Mary. 28 And the angel came to her, and said: Greetings, you who are highly favored, the Lord is with you: blessed are you among women. 29 And when she saw him, she was troubled at his saying, and pondered what kind of salutation this might be. 30 And the angel said to her: Do not fear, Mary: for you have found favor with God. 31 And, behold, you will conceive in your womb, and bring forth a son, and you will call his name Jesus. 32 He will be great, and will be called the

---

22 Galilean Jews regarded themselves as very devout and more pious than their compatriots in Jerusalem and Judea. In the Tannaitic age many most famous rabbis were from Galilee. See Richard A. Gabriel, Gods of Our Fathers: The Memory of Egypt in Judaism and Christianity (Westport, CT: Greenwood Press, 2002), 145.

23 Nazareth is mentioned only in the New Testament, and not in Jewish sources until the seventh century. While it was an obscure town, this could also indicate a systematic expunging of the name by later rabbis in response to a large messianic movement among the Jews.

24 The Hebrew of Isa 7:14 reads: “Therefore the Lord Himself shall give you a sign: behold, the young woman shall conceive …” (הָעַלְמָה הִנֵּה: שָׁכַר הֵרָה). However, the Septuagint translates δαμαρθασ (παρθένος) (“virgin”) because it is hardly a “sign” for a young woman to bear a child.

25 In the Biblical period, the Divine Name was used in greetings, but substitutes were used in the Second Temple period, such as הָמָּקֵם (ha-Makom (“the Place”)) and הָעֵבְרָה/ha-G’vurah (“the Power”). That “the Lord” is invoked here is what “troubles” Mary. Later, the Jews returned to using the Divine Name in greetings, to distinguish themselves from the Minim, i.e. Nazarenes. Note m. Ber. 9:5: “The Sages also instituted that one should greet another in the name of God” (הימן באים, שָׁכַר צְדָקָא שָׁאלוּ אֶת שְׁוַאֵל חֵי׃).  


27 The Greek καὶ καλέσεις τὸ ὄνομα σου translates the perfectly idiomatic Hebrew בְּנֵךְ, see Luke 1:13.
Son of the Most High: And the Lord God will give to him the throne of his father David: And he will reign over the house of Jacob forever; and there will be no end of his kingdom. Then Mary said to the angel: How will this be, since I have not known a man? And the angel answered and said to her: The Holy Spirit will come upon you and the power of the Most High will overshadow you; therefore also the holy one born to you will be called the Son of God. And, behold, your relative Elizabeth has also conceived a son in her old age; and this is the sixth month with her, who was called barren. For with God nothing will be impossible. And Mary said: Behold the handmaid of the Lord; may it be to me according to your word. And the angel departed from her.

Scene 3: Mary Visits Elizabeth

The text goes on to recount Mary’s song of praise, where we find allusion not only to biblical literature but to the Dead Sea Scrolls. The “Magnificat” (Luke 1:47–55) likely originated as a

...
Maccabean hymn, during the reign of the Hasmonean king, Simon (142–135 BCE).35

Luke 1:39 And Mary arose in those days, and went into the hill country with haste, into a city36 of Judea;37 40 And entered into the house of Zechariah,38 and greeted Elizabeth. 41 And it came to pass,39 that, when Elizabeth heard the salutation of Mary, the baby leaped in her womb; and Elizabeth was filled with the Holy Spirit:40 42 And she cried out with a loud voice, and said: Blessed are you among women, and blessed is the fruit of your womb.41 43 And how is it to me, that the mother of my Lord should come to me? 44 For, Behold,42 as soon as the voice43 of your greeting came to my ears, the baby leaped in my womb for joy. 45 And blessed is she who believed; for there will be a fulfillment of those things that were spoken to her from the Lord. 46 And Mary said: My soul magnifies the Lord,44 47 And my spirit has rejoiced in God my Savior. 48 For he has regarded the low estate45 of his handmaiden;

36 The Greek νόησιν translates the Hebrew word מִשְׁמַר ( “city”/“town”), which additionally came to mean: country, land or region.
37 Unlike the stories of Isaac, Samson, and Samuel (after whom the nativity story is modeled), there is no mention that Mary conceived. This could be an error in transmission of the Semitic original, the Hebrew וַתַּהֲנֵו (“and she conceived”) being mistaken for וַתַּהֲמֶר (“and she hurried”). Hypothetical Hebrew Reconstruction: בְּכָל הַמֵּחַל הַלְוַיֵּר ( “In those days Mary conceived and went to the hill country in the region of Judea”).
38 Followers of John the Baptist may have claimed that he was born in Bethlehem, linking him with David. The followers of Jesus may have felt compelled to do the same.
39 The Greek καὶ ἐγένετο translates the Hebrew וַיְהִי, see Luke 1:23.
41 Note PRK (supplement 6): “Blessed is the house in which the Messiah was created. Blessed is the womb whence he came.”
42 The Greek ἵδον translates the Hebrew הִנֵּה. See Luke 1:20 n.
43 A messianic proclamation; the Greek φωνὴ translates the Hebrew קול, as in קול בקע ( “daughter of the voice”). See Luke 9:35.
44 Note 1 Sam 2:1: “My heart exults in the LORD …” (עדת ליה יִלֵּה). Mary’s words are patterned on Hannah’s.
45 The Greek ταπεινωσιν translates the Hebrew וַתַּהֲמֶר.
for, behold, from henceforth all generations shall call me blessed. For the Mighty One has done to me great things; and holy is his name. And his mercy is on those who fear him from generation to generation. He has shown strength with his arm; he has scattered the proud in the imagination of their hearts. He has brought down the mighty from their thrones, and exalted the humble. He has filled the hungry with good things; and the rich he has sent empty away. He has helped his servant Israel, in remembrance of his mercy. As he spoke to our fathers, to Abraham, and to his seed forever. And Mary dwelt with her about three months, and returned to her own house.

Scene 4:
The Birth and Circumcision of John the Baptist

The details regarding John the Baptist align well with Jewish tradition, which required circumcision on the eighth day, along with the naming of the child.

Luke 1:57 Now Elizabeth’s full time came that she should give birth; and she brought forth a son. And her neighbors and her relatives heard how the Lord had shown great mercy upon her; and

---

47 Note Pesiq. Rab. 37: “Blessed is the generation whose eyes behold him” (אשׁר יְאַבָּד אֲשֶׁר רוּאִים רַחֲצֵי עָוֹן). Regarding previous generations, note Luke 10:23–24: “Blessed are the eyes which see the things that you see … Many prophets and kings have desired to see the things which you see, and have not seen them; and to hear the things which you hear, and have not heard them.” See also Matt 13:6.
48 The Greek γενεὰς καὶ γενεὰς translates a common Hebrew idiom: לָדוֹר מִדּוֹר.
49 This references the victories of the Maccabees.
50 “The proud” were the Greeks/Seleucids.
51 The “mighty” who were brought down were the Seleucid kings (Antiochus V Eupator and Demetrius I Soter). See note on Luke 2:38; Dead Sea Scrolls, 1QM 14:4–7, 11: “Blessed be the God of Israel” (ברוך אל ישראל). “He has called those who stumble unto wondrous accomplishments” (ויָדַע כָּלִים לַגְּבוֹרָה פָּלָה). “He gives those whose knees shake strength to stand” (וְיוֹצֵא בְּכָלֶים וְיִרְמֵין חוּדֵי מַעְרָב). “… by those whose way is perfect shall all wicked nations come to an end (רֹאשׁוֹ רְאוֹשׁ נְכַל מְיָאָר רַשָּׁה). “Those who are great in height You will cut down to humble them” (יוֹסֵף קָוָה נְגֹבֶל לַשְׁפִּילוֹ).
they rejoiced with her. 59 And it came to pass,\footnote{The Greek καὶ ἐγένετο translates the Hebrew וַיִּהְיֶה; see Luke 1:23.} that on the eighth day they came to circumcise the child; and they called him Zechariah, after the name of his father.\footnote{Note PRE (ch. 48): Moses was named יְהֹוָה יְקֻטַּי (Yekutiel) on eighth day (from ה + יהו: suggesting “obedience to God”). Note also Eccles. Rab. 7:3: “A man is given three names: the one given by his father and mother, the one giving him by other people (his nickname), and the one which has been predestined for him” (יְהֹוָה יְקֻטַּי נִקְרָא. נִקְרָא לְאָבִיו אֲחֵרִיתָם, אֲחֵרִיתָם לְאָבִיו אֲחֵרִית, אֲחֵרִית אֲבִיו אֲבִיו לְאָבִיו נִקְרָא).} 60 And his mother answered and said: No; but he shall be called John. 61 And they said to her: There is no one among your relatives who is called by this name.\footnote{Note the Jewish practice of naming a child in honor of a family member, either deceased or living.} 62 And they made signs to his father, how he would have him called. 63 And he asked for a writing tablet, and wrote, saying: His name is John. And they all marveled. 64 And his mouth was opened immediately, and his tongue loosed, and he spoke, and praised God. 65 And fear came on all who dwelt round around them: and all these sayings were talked about throughout all the hill country of Judea. 66 And all who heard them laid them up in their hearts, saying, What manner of child will this be? And the hand of the Lord\footnote{Note Dead Sea Scrolls 1QM 1:14 “In the seventh lot the great hand of God shall overcome ...” (… וְבִזְכָּרוֹנָו הַשֵּׁבִיתוֹ הַבְּגֻדּוֹת הַשְּׁלֹשִׁים וְאֶחָד הַגִּלָּחָה מַעֲשֶׂה).} was with him.

**Scene 5:**

**Zechariah’s Prophecy**

*The prophecy of Zechariah (the “Benedictus”) is also Hebraic in syntax, containing linguistic nuances that can only be appreciated in Hebrew. It is thought by some to have been composed during the Babylonian captivity, being one of the earliest Hebrew psalms.\footnote{See Flusser, *Judaism*, 134–35.} Of particular note are the expressions “son of the Most High” (v. 32) and “son of God” (v. 35), which, far from being exclusively Christian formulations, have been found among the fragments of the Dead Sea Scrolls. We also see the concept of “salvation” for an exclusive group among the Israelites, elsewhere referenced as*
the “elect.” How many of such ideas were shared by Jesus and his followers is up for debate.

Luke 1:67 And his father Zechariah was filled with the Holy Spirit, and prophesied, saying:

68 Blessed is the Lord God of Israel; for he has visited and redeemed his people, and has raised up a horn of salvation for us in the house of his servant David; 70 As he spoke by the mouth of his holy prophets, of old: 71 That we should be saved from our enemies, and from the hand of all who hate us; 72 To fulfill mercy toward our fathers, and to remember his holy covenant; 73 The oath which he swore to our father Abraham; 74 That he would grant to us, deliverance from the hand of our enemies, being saved to serve him without fear, 75 In holiness and righteousness before him, all the days of our life. 76 And you, child, shall be called the prophet of the Most High: for you shall go before the face of the Lord to prepare his ways; 77 To give knowledge of salvation to his people by the forgiveness of their sins, Through the tender mercy of

58 See Luke 19:44.
59 See Luke 1:52; note Dead Sea Scrolls 1QM 14:4–15: “Blessed is the God of Israel, who guards lovingkindness for His covenant and the appointed times of salvation (yeshuah) for the people He redeems. He has called those who stumble unto wondrous accomplishments …” (ברוך אל ישראל והusher חסדה הברית והשעת והעם עפרם ותרם וירא). The Greek Ἰησοῦν (“Jesus”) transliterates the Hebrew ישועה (“Salvation”). Note the fifteenth benediction of the Amidah prayer: “Blessed are you, O Lord, who causes the horn of salvation (yeshuah) to flourish” (ברוך אתה ועזרו חסדו ויהויא). Not found in the Masoretic Text, but similar language appears in the Dead Sea Scrolls 1QS 1:3: “He commanded through the hand of Moses and the hand of all His servants the prophets” (יהא ביד משה וביד צוה ועבדיו). Shemaiah claimed that the Red Sea opened in reward for the faith of Abraham. Avtalion (the “patrician”) said that it was due to the faith/merit of the Israelites themselves; see Mekh. 2:3, Luke 3:8. See Dead Sea Scrolls 1QM 14:8: “But we are the remnant of Your people. Blessed is Your name, O God of lovingkindness, the One who kept the covenant for our forefathers” (אומר שאראת האבות וברית והמשימה והברית והברית לברית לחוסן). See also Luke 11:72–73.
60 The “plebeian” class had derived the principle of the “merit of the fathers” (אבות זכות), which implied the pre-determination of one’s fate. Shemaiah claimed that the Red Sea opened in reward for the faith of Abraham. Avtalion (the “patrician”) said that it was due to the faith/merit of the Israelites themselves; see Mekh. 2:3, Luke 3:8. See Dead Sea Scrolls 1QM 14:8: “But we are the remnant of Your people. Blessed is Your name, O God of lovingkindness, the One who kept the covenant for our forefathers” (אמר שאראת האבות וברית והמשימה והברית והברית לברית לחוסן). Note Test. Levi 8:15; possibly a messianic title: “And his presence is beloved, as a prophet of the Most High, of the seed of Abraham our father” (ולעילוי מועד אברום פסלי חבל).
our God; whereby the dayspring from on high\(^{64}\) has visited us, 79 To give light to those who sit in darkness and in the shadow of death, to guide our feet into the way of peace.\(^{65}\) 80 And the child continued to grow strong in spirit, and was in the deserts until the day of his appearance to Israel.

Scene 6: The Birth of Jesus

Luke’s special “nativity” narrative continues (and concludes) in Chapter 2. Jesus is linked in this account with the city of Bethlehem (whether or not he was actually born there). The link with David is obviously messianic, but does this suggest (in addition to a messianic identification) militancy and insurrection against Roman domination?

Luke 2:1 And it came to pass\(^{66}\) in those days, that there went out a decree from Caesar Augustus to register all the world. 2 This first registration took place when Quirinius\(^{67}\) was governor of Syria. 3 And all went to be registered, each one to his own city. 4 Joseph also went up from Galilee, out of the city of Nazareth,\(^{68}\) into Judea, to the city of David, which is called Bethlehem;\(^{69}\)

\(\text{Note Mal 3:20: “But to you that fear My name shall the sun of righteousness arise with healing in its wings” } (זָרְחָהּ וּמַרְפֵּא צְדָקָה שֶׁמֶשׁ שְׁמִי יִרְאֵי לָכֶם וְזָרְחָה).\)

\(\text{PRK 18:6 interprets Ps 72:7 messianically: “In his days let the righteous flourish, and abundance of peace” } (בְּיָמָיו יִפְרַח שָׁלוֹם וְרֹב צַדִּיק).\)

\(\text{The Greek ἔγένετο δὲ translates the Hebrew וַיְהִי.}\)

\(\text{Quirinius did not become governor until 6 CE. The census he conducted in Syria has been confirmed by an inscription purchased in Beirut in 1674, but it dates too late to match the account in Luke. It has been argued that Quirinius had been governor on a previous occasion (3 BCE–2 BCE), at which time an earlier census may have been conducted. Luke may have alluded to this when he mentions “this first registration.” While theoretically possible, such a solution would require post-dating Herod’s death to 1 BCE, as opposed to the accepted date, 4 BCE.}\)

\(\text{See Luke 1:26; Nazareth is mentioned only in the New Testament …}\)

\(\text{Note Mic 5:1: “But you, Bethlehem Ephrathah, too little to be among the thousands of Judah, out of you shall one come forth to Me one who is to be ruler in Israel; whose goings forth are from of old, from ancient days” } (אֶפְרַתָה בִּלי חֲלִם אוֹרָה הָיָה לְכֶם לִהְיוֹת יֵצֵא לִי מִמְּךָ יְהוּדָה בְּיִשְׂרָאֵל מִקֶּדֶם וּמוֹצָאֹתָיו).\)

\(\text{Some speculate that Jesus was born in Nazareth and that the Bethlehem story is intended as a messianic link. There is also archaeological evidence of another town in Galilee called Bethlehem.}\)
Act I: Luke’s Prelude

because he was of the house and lineage of David; 5 To be registered with Mary who was betrothed to him, being with child. 6 And it came to pass\(^ {70}\) that, while they were there, the days were fulfilled that she should deliver. 7 And she brought forth her firstborn son, and wrapped him in swaddling clothes, and laid him in a manger;\(^ {71} \) because there was no room for them in the inn.\(^ {72} \)

Scene 7:
The Shepherds

The reference to “peace” in this passage refers to the Messiah, who is sent to the “saved” people of God. The implication is that messianic peace is for an exclusive group of Israelites: the “elect.”

Luke 2:8 And there were in the same region shepherds lodging in the fields, keeping watch over their flock by night. 9 And an angel of the Lord stood by them, and the glory of the Lord shone around them; and they were greatly afraid. 10 And the angel said to them: Do not fear; for, behold,\(^ {73} \) I bring you good news\(^ {74} \) of great joy,

---

\(^ {70} \) The Greek ἐγένετο δὲ translates the Hebrew ייְהִי.

\(^ {71} \) The Hebrew term for a feeding trough (“manger”) occurs only three times in the Hebrew Bible, most notably Isa 1:3: “The ox knows its owner and the donkey its master’s feeding trough: But Israel does not know, My people do not consider” (🛀ָּאשׁ יָדַע הִתְבּוֹנָן לֹא עַמִּי, יָדַע לֹא יִשְׂרָאֵל, בְּעָלָיו אֵבוּס וַחֲמוֹר). This detail in Luke is possibly a prophetic rebuke, though others suggest it associates Jesus with a feeding trough, prefiguring the Last Supper.

\(^ {72} \) The lack of room at the inn was possibly an excuse. There may indeed have been room, given that a man was allowed to share a room with more than one woman as long as one was his wife. Moreover, people slept in their clothes, only removing the outer garment. The real reason may have involved Mary’s pregnancy since people would be inconvenienced if she had to leave the room. Nor would there be privacy for giving birth. Note m. Kid. 4:12: “A man may not be secluded with two women lest he sin with them, but one woman may be secluded with two men. Rabbi Shimon says: Even one man may be secluded with two women when his wife is with him” (אלא יִתְיַחֵד אַנְשֵׁים שְׁתֵּי עִם שֶׁאִשְׁתּוֹ בְּעָלָיו אַף, אוֹמֵר שִׁמְעוֹן רַבִּי). See Luke 1:20 n.

\(^ {73} \) The Greek ἰδού translates the Hebrew הִנֵּה.

\(^ {74} \) Note Dead Sea Scrolls 1QHa 23:15: “to raise up according to Your truth the herald of good news” (לְהוֹדוּרִים מָאתָמָה מַבָּשֵׁר).
which shall be for all people. For to you has been born today in the city of David a Savior, who is the Messiah, the Lord. And this shall be a sign to you; You shall find the babe wrapped in swaddling clothes, lying in a manger. And suddenly there was with the angel a multitude of the heavenly host praising God, and saying: Glory to God in the highest, and on earth peace among men of goodwill. And it came to pass as the angels were going away from them into heaven, the shepherds said one to another: Let us now go indeed to Bethlehem, and see this thing which has come to pass, which the Lord has made known to us. And they came with haste, and found Mary, and Joseph, and the baby lying in a manger. And when they had seen it, they proclaimed abroad the saying that was told them concerning this child. And all those who heard it marveled at the things that were told to them by the shepherds. But Mary treasured all these things, and pondered them in her heart. And the shepherds returned, glorifying and praising God for all the things that they had heard and seen, as it was told to them.

75 Reflecting the universalism of the Hebrew prophets, as in Isa 2:2: “And it shall come to pass in the end of days, that the mountain of the LORD’S house shall be established as the top of the mountains, and shall be exalted above the hills; and all nations shall flow unto it.”

76 Note Wis. Sol. 7:4: “I was nursed with care in swaddling cloths.”

77 See v. 7.

78 “Of goodwill” (εὐδοκίας) is preferred, being the most difficult reading. The verse should read, “on earth peace for men of [God’s] favor.” Hypothetical Hebrew reconstruction: וַיִּהְיֶה בָּרִי לְוִי-יְהוָה רֹאֶשׁ בְּרֹאשׁ הַיָּמִים בְּאַחֲרִית וְהָיָה הַגּוֹיִם כָּל אֵלָיו וְנָהֲרוּ.


80 See v. 7.
Scene 8:
Circumcision and Presentation of Jesus

The Hebrew name for Jesus, Yeshua (ישועה), derives from the root yashah (ישע), meaning “salvation” and indicating that God “saves”/“delivers”/“redeems.” The idea is not eschatological but suggests present tense deliverance from malady or calamity.

Luke 2:21 And when eight days were accomplished for the circumcision of the child, his name was called Jesus, who was so named by the angel before he was conceived in the womb.

22 And when the days of their [her] purification according to the law of Moses were fulfilled, they brought him to Jerusalem to present him to the Lord; 23 As it is written in the law of the Lord: Every male who opens the womb shall be called holy to the Lord; 24 And to offer a sacrifice according to what is said in the law of the Lord, A pair of turtledoves, or two young pigeons.

Scene 9:
Simeon’s Prophecy

The suggestion that ancient, militant hymns lie beneath the text is underscored by the prophecy of Simeon, who is waiting for the
“consolation of Israel.” Commonly overlooked in such language is the possibility of militant redemption being referenced and a serious link to the Zealot faction.

**Luke 2:25** And, **behold,** there was a man in Jerusalem, whose name was Simeon; and this man was righteous and devout, **waiting for the consolation of Israel:** and the **Holy Spirit** was upon him. 26 And it was revealed to him by the **Holy Spirit,** that he would not see death, before he had seen the Lord’s Messiah. 27 And he came by the Spirit into the temple; and when the parents brought in the child Jesus, to do for him according to the custom of the law, 28 Then he took him in his arms, and blessed God, and said: 29 Lord, now let your servant depart in peace, according to your word; 30 For my eyes have seen your salvation, 31 Which you have prepared before the face of all people; 32 A light for revelation to the Gentiles, and the glory of your people Israel. 33 And his father and his mother marveled at the things that were spoken of him. 34 And **Simeon blessed them, and said** to Mary his mother: **Behold,** this child is appointed for the fall and rising up of many in Israel; and for a sign which will be spoken against;

---

87 Note Qumran Apostrophe to Zion (11Q5 22:3–4): “Generations of the pious will adorn you: they who long for the day of your salvation” (תֵהֶרֶם תֵאָרַךְ מַעֲלֵי הַיָּמִים הַמַּתעֲרִים יִדְרֵשֵׁךְ). This could refer to messianic deliverance from Rome. John the Baptist’s followers (and background) may have been even more nationalistic than John himself.
88 See Luke 1:15. Note Dead Sea Scrolls 1QS4:21: “… cleansing from every wicked deed by a holy spirit” (לִמְשָׁרֵי ברוּחַ קדָשׁ מִפְּלֵי לְעָלַיִת הַשָּׁמֶשׁ).
89 See Luke 2:10; reflecting the universalism of the Hebrew prophets, as in Isa 2:2.
90 “Bless and say” is not found in the Hebrew Bible; rather, a blessing involved a “prophecy.” Reconstruction: “Simeon spoke a prophecy over the parents, which had special meaning for Mary.”
35 And, a sword will pierce through your own soul also,\(^{92}\) that the thoughts of many hearts may be revealed.

Scene 10:  
Anna the Prophetess

The words attributed to Anna regarding “redemption in Jerusalem” betray (like Simeon’s prophecy) a militant/Zealot tone.

**Luke 2:36** And there was one Anna, a prophetess, the daughter of Phanuel, of the tribe of Asher; she was advanced in years, and had lived with a husband seven years from her marriage; 37 And she was a widow of about eighty-four years, who had not departed from the temple, but served God with fasting and prayers night and day. 38 And having come up at that hour, she gave thanks to the Lord, and spoke of him to all those who looked for the redemption of Jerusalem.\(^{93}\)

Scene 11:  
The Family Returns to Nazareth

“Wisdom” (חָכְמָה) and “grace”/“favor” (חֵן) are important terms and concepts in rabbinic thought and were especially prominent among ancient Hasidim.

**Luke 2:39** And when they had performed all things according to the law of the Lord, they returned to Galilee, to their own city Nazareth.\(^{94}\) 40 And the child continued to grow, and become

---

\(^{92}\) D. Flusser argued (*Judaism*, 128) that the Magnificat and Benedictus appear to draw from two militant hymns known among the circles of John the Baptist, and that Luke changed only one word: “a sword will pierce its (Israel’s) soul …” Verse 35 helps explain Heb 4:12: “For the word of God is quick, and powerful, and sharper than any two edged sword.” Note y. *Ned.* 9, 41b (48): “As if he takes a sword and pierces it through his heart.”

\(^{93}\) See v. 25; this may indicate possible Zealot sympathies.

strong in spirit, filled with wisdom; and the grace of God was upon him.

**Scene 12:**

**The Boy Jesus Amazes the Scholars**

*One pilgrimage in a lifetime fulfilled the obligation, indicating that Jesus’ parents were very observant, going to Jerusalem every year. This also indicates a level of affluence, notwithstanding that they offered, at Jesus’ birth, turtledoves or pigeons since presumably they could not afford a lamb (Luke 2:24). Perhaps the text was written so as to make them appear less affluent than they were. The amazement of the teachers is similar to what Josephus wrote about his own precociousness. Jesus displays a special familiarity with God, whom he calls “my Father” (אבי), in a manner similar to the “Pious” (Hasidim).*

**Luke 2:41** And his parents went to Jerusalem every year at the feast of the Passover. 42 And when he was twelve years old, they went up to Jerusalem according to the custom of the feast. 43 And when they had fulfilled the days, as they returned, the child Jesus remained behind in Jerusalem; and Joseph and his mother did not know of it. 44 But, supposing him to be in their company, they went a day’s journey; and they sought him among their relatives and acquaintances. 45 And when they did not find him, they returned to Jerusalem, seeking him. 46 And it came to pass, that after three days they found him in the temple, sitting in the midst of the teachers, both hearing them, and asking them questions. 47 And all who heard him were astonished at his

---

95 A yearly pilgrimage was not necessary; once in a lifetime was sufficient.

96 Note Josephus *Ant.* V, 10.4, stating that Samuel began his prophetic activity at age twelve.

97 See Deut 16:8: “Six days you shall eat unleavened bread; and on the seventh day shall be a solemn assembly to the LORD you God” (şiḵa sīmāt ṣeḇaḥ: בִּיעִי שְּׁהֵם תְּבוּרָה יָמִים שֵׁשֶׁת אֱלֹהֶיךָ לַיהוָה עֲצֶרֶת).

98 The Greek καὶ ἐγένετο translates the Hebrew וַיְהִי; see Luke 1:23.
understanding and answers. And when they saw him, they were amazed; and his mother said to him: Son, why have you done this to us? Behold, your father and I have been distressed seeking you. And he said to them: How is it that you sought me? Did you not know that I must be about my Father’s business? 50 And they did not understand the saying that he spoke to them.

Scene 13: Jesus Advances in Wisdom and Favor

Throughout his youth, Jesus is said to have “increased in wisdom,” presumably devoting himself to Torah study, so as to amaze the scholars at the temple. This is hardly consistent with the general stereotype of an illiterate, unlearned population.

Luke 2:51 And he went down with them, and came to Nazareth, and was subject to them; but his mother treasured all these sayings in her heart. 52 And Jesus increased in wisdom and stature, and in favor with God and men.

99 Note Josephus, Life 9: “When I was a child, about fourteen years of age, I was commended by all for the love I had of learning; on which account the high priest and principal men of the city frequently came to me together, to know my opinion about the accurate understanding of points of the law.”

100 The Greek ἴδον translates the Hebrew ויהי. See Luke 1:20 n.


Bibliography


Index

A

Abraham, 18, 23, 25, 35, 37, 40–41, 43, 64, 66, 89–90, 117, 126, 148, 162, 180
Adultery, 60, 75–77, 133, 143, 154, 168
Aesop (fable), 111
Anna (the Prophetess), 31
Annas, 35–36, 100, 167, 171
Arrest (of Jesus), 143, 171, 197
Atonement, 19, 90

B

Baptism, 34, 36, 38, 111, 145, 172
Barabbas, 141, 202–204, 208
Beatitudes, 57–59
Beelzebub, 3, 69–70
Bethlehem, 22, 26, 28, 66
Birth (of Jesus), 1, 15–16, 18, 20–21, 23, 26–27, 32, 49, 55, 170
Burial (of Jesus), 211–212

C

Caesar, 26, 35–36, 178–179, 201
Caesarea, 201, 211
Caiaphas, 35–36, 100, 171
Capernaum, 35, 45, 93–94, 97, 108–109, 132

Centurion, 8, 108–109, 210
Chorazin, 132
Circle-Drawer, 69.
See also: Honi HaMe'aggel
Crucifixion, 8, 126–127, 170, 211

D

Day of Atonement, 19
Dragnet Parable, 143, 146
E

Elect, 25, 27, 108
Elijah, 18, 35, 98, 120, 122–125, 127, 144, 150, 210, 231
Emmaus, 215–216
Enoch, 41–42, 59, 78, 96, 144, 148
Eschatology, 158, 228
Essenes, 36–37, 48, 130–131, 134
See also: Faithful
Exorcism, 45–46, 119

F

Faithful, 58, 106, 150, 152, 231–233.
See also: Evil
Family (of Jesus), 9, 21, 24, 31, 49, 62, 100, 132, 161, 171, 188, 192
Fear (of God), 18, 20, 23–27, 43, 50, 54, 73, 100, 107, 111, 120–121, 137–139, 150, 172, 178, 208, 222–223, 225, 230
Feet, 26, 38, 76–77, 85–86, 91–92, 118–120, 131, 144, 157, 177, 218
Fig Tree Parable, 170, 182, 184–186
See also: Wise
Forgiveness, 25, 53, 79, 219, 223

G

Genealogy (of Jesus), 13, 34, 40
Gethsemane, 171, 195–197
Good Samaritan Parable, 72, 80
Great Supper Parable, 162–163

H

Hanina ben Dosa, 119, 225
Hasidim, 31–32, 40, 53, 63, 121, 134, 139, 225
Herod Antipas, 37–39, 172, 178, 188
Herodias, 38–39, 123
Hillel, 40, 48, 55, 57, 60, 71, 77, 80, 102, 129, 136, 143, 153–154, 163, 179
Honi HaMe'aggel, 69, 107.
See also: Circle-Drawer
House on the Rock Parable, 112, 115
Hypocrisy, 37, 137–139
Index

I

Illness, 53–54, 213, 229
Isaac, 22, 41, 64, 128, 148, 180

J

Jacob, 21, 37, 41, 59, 135, 148, 180
James, 14, 19, 21, 57, 78, 100–101, 121, 126, 144, 190–191, 215
Jeremiah, 98, 159, 177, 206
Job, 75, 87, 228
Joseph of Arimathea, 211
Judas, 101, 190, 195, 197

K

King of the Jews, 201, 208

L

Last Supper, 27, 56, 170, 191–193, 195
Law, 9, 29–31, 33, 36, 39, 46, 49, 52, 54, 60, 66, 68, 70, 72, 74, 80–81, 84, 93, 98–100, 108–109, 123, 135–136, 142, 145, 153, 158, 161–163, 168, 179, 188, 199–201, 219, 236
Lazarus, 85, 88–90.
See also: Rich Man Parable
Leaven, 62–63, 138–139
Lillies, 87–88
Lost Coin Parable, 82–84
Lost Sheep Parable, 82–84, 219, 221
Lost Son Parable, 170, 174–175
Lot, 17–18, 24, 60, 208, 210, 227
M

Maccabees (Book of), 23, 209
Mary Magdalene, 215
Messianic Banquet, 55, 57, 105, 171, 191
Minas Parable, 149–151.
See also: Talents Parable
Mount of Olives, 165–166, 168, 189, 196, 205, 225
Mustard Seed Parable, 62–63, 155–156

N

Noah, 226

O

Offense, 111, 154–155

P

Persistent Friend Parable, 106–107
Persistent Widow Parable, 106–107, 160
See also: Tax Collector; Scribes
Pilgrimage, 32, 49
Pontius Pilate, 35–36, 201
Proselytes, 37, 63, 149, 206

R

Ravens, 85–86, 88
Reincarnation, 123
Repentance, 36–38, 61, 83–85, 176, 195, 219
Rich Fool Parable, 85–88
Rich Man Parable, 61, 85, 88–90, 151, 173, 182.
See also: Lazarus
Righteousness, 25–26, 71, 73, 75, 89, 100, 123, 127, 175, 222

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Talents Parable, 149, 151. See also: Minas Parable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tares, 3, 143, 145, 147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Collector, 37, 82–83, 89, 111, 142–143, 161–164, 174–175. See also: Pharisees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temple Committee, 170–172, 174, 189–190, 199–200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testament of Solomon, 97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiberius, 35–36, 179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfiguration, 56, 126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trial (of Jesus), 8, 35, 43, 143, 171, 194, 199, 201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triumphal Entry, 143, 161, 165, 170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Sons Parable, 170, 174–176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Ways, 36, 74, 175</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S

Sadducees, 81, 85, 137, 153, 168, 179, 199–200, 216
Samaritans, 81, 144, 156
Sanhedrin, 76, 171, 199–200
Satan, 3, 42–43, 66, 70–71, 89, 133, 155
Shammai, 77, 136, 153–154
Shimon ben Shetakh, 69
Simeon, 29–31, 41, 103
Son of God, 8, 21, 24, 42–44, 47, 119, 200, 210, 229
Sons of Light, 152
Sower Parable, 112, 114
### U

Unjust Steward Parable, 149, 151

### V

Vinedressers, 170, 182, 184, 186–187.

*See also:* Wicked Virgins, 233

### W

Washing of Feet, 91
Wedding Feast Parable, 55
Wheat and Tares Parable, 147

*See also:* Vinedressers

Wise, 4, 64, 85, 134, 137, 232–233.

*See also:* Fool Woes, 58–59

### Y

Yohanan ben Zakkai, 115, 161

### Z

Zacchaeus, 142, 161
Zealots, 48, 140–141, 144, 179, 197–198, 202, 204, 208
Join the **GCRR Academic Society**

And be part of tens of thousands of scholars, educators and students researching religion from around the globe.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>SIGNIFICANTLY LOWER MEMBERSHIP COSTS</strong></th>
<th><strong>PRIORITIZED PEER-REVIEW AND PUBLISHING</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>FREE DIGITAL SUBSCRIPTION TO SHERM ACADEMIC JOURNAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>PAID “RESIDENT SCHOLAR” POSITIONS AVAILABLE</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Global Center for Religious Research (GCRR) Academic Institute**

Where religious students, scholars and specialists **flourish**

[gcrr.org/joinGCRR](http://gcrr.org/joinGCRR)
INTERNATIONAL DISTRIBUTION
39,000 online and in-store outlets like Amazon, Walmart, Target, and Barnes & Noble

EXPANDED ADVERTISING
Developed with search optimization technology, we offer an expanded Advertising package that involves delivery of your book to one of the industry's largest book distributors, as well as circulating information about the book to 7,000 top U.S. booksellers and librarians, as well as to over 27,000 international and domestic customers with more than 150,000 monthly views and 70,000 registered users.